TOPOLOGICAL DYNAMICS OF PIECEWISE λ-AFFINE MAPS

Arnaldo Nogueira[1]

Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, UMR 7373, 13453 Marseille, France
arnaldo.nogueira@univ-amu.fr

Benito Pires [2] and Rafael A. Rosales

Departamento de Computação e Matemática, Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras
Universidade de São Paulo, 14040-901, Ribeirão Preto - SP, Brazil
benito@usp.br, rrosales@usp.br

arXiv:1605.03470v1 [math.DS] 11 May 2016

Abstract. Let $-1 < \lambda < 1$ and $f : [0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise λ-affine map, that is, there exist points $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \cdots < c_{n-1} < c_n = 1$ and real numbers $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ such that $f(x) = \lambda x + b_i$ for every $x \in [c_{i-1}, c_i)$. We prove that, for Lebesgue almost every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $f_\delta = f + \delta \pmod 1$ is asymptotically periodic. More precisely, f_δ has at most $2n$ periodic orbits and the ω-limit set of every $x \in [0,1)$ is a periodic orbit.

1. Introduction

Let $I = [0,1)$ and $-1 < \lambda < 1$. We say that $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is an *n-interval piecewise λ-affine map* if there exist points $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \cdots < c_{n-1} < c_n = 1$ and real numbers $b_1, \ldots, b_n$ such that $f(x) = \lambda x + b_i$ for every $x \in [c_{i-1}, c_i)$ and $1 \le i \le n$. We are interested in studying the topological dynamics of the one-parameter family of piecewise λ-affine contractions (see Figure 1)

$$f_\delta = f + \delta \pmod 1, \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1}$$

The case in which $0 < \lambda < 1$ and f is the continuous map $x \mapsto \lambda x$ was explicitly considered by, among others, Y. Bugeaud [2], Y. Bugeaud and J-P. Conze [4], R. Coutinho [7] and P. Veerman [13] using a rotation number approach. It is known that for each $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the ω-limit set $\omega_{f_\delta}(x) = \bigcap_{m \ge 0} \overline{\bigcup_{k \ge m} \{f_\delta^k(x)\}}$ is the same set for every $x \in I$: either a finite set or a Cantor set. The second situation happens for a non-trivial Lebesgue null set of parameters δ.

Here we consider the general case where f is any piecewise λ-affine contraction having finitely many discontinuities. Beyond the difficulty brought by the presence of discontinuities, we also have to deal with the possible lack of injectivity of the map, which rules out any approach based on the theory of rotation numbers. Differently from the δ-parameter family $x \mapsto \lambda x + \delta \pmod 1$, the dynamics of the general case allows the coexistence of

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37E05 Secondary 37C20, 37E15.

Key words and phrases. Topological dynamics, piecewise contraction, periodic orbit, iterated function system.

[1]Partially supported by BREUDS.

[2]Partially supported by FAPESP 2015/20731-5 and CNPq 303731/2013-3.

several attractors of finite cardinality together with several Cantor sets. In other words, $\omega_{f_\delta}(x)$ may depend on x.

Given $f : I \to I$ and $x \in I$, if there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $f^k(x) = x$, we say that the *f-orbit* of x, $O_f(x) = \bigcup_{k\geq 0}\{f^k(x)\}$, is a *periodic orbit*. We say that f is *asymptotically periodic* if $\omega_f(x)$ is a periodic orbit for every $x \in I$.

Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $-1 < \lambda < 1$ and $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ be an n-interval piecewise λ-affine map, then, for Lebesgue almost every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $f_\delta = f + \delta \pmod 1$ is asymptotically periodic and has at most $2n$ periodic orbits.*

In the statement of Theorem 1.1, the bound $2n$ for the number of periodic orbits is sharp: in fact, for $n = 1$ and $f : x \mapsto -\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ we have that f_δ is the map $x \mapsto -\frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \delta \pmod 1$, which has two periodic orbits for every δ small enough. However, the bound $2n$ can be replaced by n, if in (1) the map f satisfies $f(I) \subset (0, 1)$. Besides, the claim of Theorem 1.1 holds for $I = \mathbb{R}$, $f_\delta = f + \delta$ and the bound n in the place of $2n$. Observe that being asymptotically periodic is stronger than saying that $\omega_{f_\delta}(x)$ is a finite set for every $x \in I$. Due to the arguments in the proof of Lemma 7.1, our approach to prove Theorem 1.1 requires f to be a constant slope map .



FIGURE 1. The family $f_\delta = f + \delta \pmod 1$.

Maps of constant slope are important because many piecewise smooth interval maps are topologically conjugate or semiconjugate to them. In this regard, J. Milnor and W. Thurston [9] proved that any continuous piecewise monotone interval map of positive entropy $\mathrm{htop}(T)$ is topologically semiconjugate to a map whose slope in absolute value equals e^{htop}. This result was generalised by L. Alsedà and M. Misiurewicz [1] to piecewise continuous piecewise monotone interval maps of positive entropy. Concerning countably piecewise continuous piecewise monotone interval maps, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a non-decreasing semiconjugacy to a map of constant slope was provided by M. Misiurewicz and S. Roth [10]. A. Nogueira and B. Pires [12] proved that every injective piecewise contraction is topologically conjugate to a map whose slope in absolute value equals $\frac{1}{2}$. It is worth observing that the types of maps we consider here

appear in the field of diophantine approximation (see [3]). Concerning the dynamics of piecewise contractions, we refer the reader to [5, 6, 8].

Theorem 1.1 can be reduced to Theorem 1.2, a much more general result. To state it, we need some additional notation. Let $I = [0,1)$ or $I = \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\overline{I}$ and $\mathring{I}$, respectively, the closure and the interior of I. We say that $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$, $n \geq 2$, is an *Iterated Function System* (IFS) defined on I if each map $\phi_i : \overline{I} \to \mathring{I}$ is a Lipschitz contraction. Set $\inf \mathbb{R} = -\infty$, $\sup \mathbb{R} = \infty$ and

$$\Omega_{n-1} = \Omega_{n-1}(I) = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) : \inf I < x_1 < \cdots < x_{n-1} < \sup I\}.$$

For each $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}(I)$, let $f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}} : I \to I$ be the *n-interval piecewise contraction* (*PC*) defined by

$$f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(x) & \text{if} \quad x \in I \cap (-\infty, x_1) \\ \phi_i(x) & \text{if} \quad x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i), \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ \phi_n(x) & \text{if} \quad x \in I \cap [x_{n-1}, \infty) \end{cases}. \tag{2}$$

All measure-theoretical statements hereafter concern the Lebesgue measure denoted by μ. In particular, $W_\Phi \subset I$ is a *full set* if $\mu(I \setminus W_\Phi) = 0$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $I = [0,1)$ or $I = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ be an IFS defined on I, then there exists a full set $W_\Phi \subset I$ such that for every $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}(I) \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, the n-interval PC $f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ defined by (2) is asymptotically periodic and has at most n periodic orbits.*

Notice that in Theorem 1.2, the IFS Φ does not need to be affine nor injective. A weaker version of Theorem 1.2 was proved by the authors in [11] under two additional hypothesis: the maps $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n$ were injective and had non-overlapping ranges.

This article is organized as follows. The proof of Theorem 1.2 for $I = [0,1)$ is distributed along Sections 2, 3, 4 and 5. The first three sections are dedicated to the asymptotic stability aspect while the upper bound for the number of periodic orbits is in Section 5. The proof of Theorem 1.2 for $I = \mathbb{R}$ is in Section 6. Theorem 1.1 is proved in Section 7.

2. Highly Contractive Iterated Function Systems

In this section we provide a version of Theorem 1.2 for highly contractive IFSs defined on $I = [0,1)$ as follows. If $\phi : I \to I$ is a Lipschitz map, then $D\phi(x)$ exists for almost every $x \in I$. We say that an IFS $\{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ is *highly contractive* if there exists $0 \leq \rho < 1$ such that, for almost every $x \in I$,

$$|D\phi_1(x)| + \ldots + |D\phi_n(x)| \leq \rho < 1. \tag{3}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n\}$ be a highly contractive IFS defined on $I = [0,1)$, then there exists a full set $W_\Phi \subset I$ such that, for every $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, the PC $f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ defined by (2) is asymptotically periodic.*

We need some preparatory lemmas to prove Theorem 2.1. Throughout this section, except in Definition 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, we assume that Φ is a highly contractive IFS.

Denote by Id the identity map on $\bar{I}$. Let $\mathscr{C}_0 = \{Id\}$ and $A_0 = \bar{I}$. For every $k \geq 0$, let

$$\mathscr{C}_{k+1} = \mathscr{C}_{k+1}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) = \{\phi_i \circ h : 1 \leq i \leq n, h \in \mathscr{C}_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_k = \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h(\bar{I}). \tag{4}$$

Lemma 2.2. *For every $k \geq 0$,*

(i) $A_{k+1} = \cup_{i=1}^n \phi_i(A_k) \subset A_k$;

(ii) *Let $W_1 = I \setminus \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$, then $W_1 = \bar{I}$ almost surely.*

Proof. The equality in claim (i) follows from the following equalities:

$$A_{k+1} = \bigcup_{g\in\mathscr{C}_{k+1}} g(\bar{I}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} \phi_i\big(h(\bar{I})\big) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi_i\Big(\bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h(\bar{I})\Big) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi_i(A_k).$$

It follows easily from (4) that $\mathscr{C}_{k+1} = \{h \circ \phi_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, h \in \mathscr{C}_k\}$, thus

$$A_{k+1} = \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} \bigcup_{i=1}^n h\big(\phi_i(\bar{I})\big) \subset \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} \bigcup_{i=1}^n h\big(\bar{I}\big) = \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h\big(\bar{I}\big) = A_k$$

which concludes the proof of item (i). The proof of claim (ii) follows from the change of variables formula for Lipschitz maps together with claim (i) and equation (3),

$$\mu(A_{k+1}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu\left(\phi_i(A_k)\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{A_k} |D\phi_i| \,\mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{A_k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |D\phi_i|\right) \mathrm{d}\mu \leq \rho\mu(A_k).$$

Therefore $\mu(A_k) \leq \rho^k$, for every $k \geq 0$, thus $\mu(\cap_{k\geq 0} A_k) = 0$ which proves item (ii).

□

If Φ is a highly contractive IFS its atractor, $\cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$, is a null measure set, by Lemma 2.2, item (ii). Using [6, Theorem 3.1], one obtains that for every point $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_1^{n-1}$, the map $f_{x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ has finitely many periodic orbits and is asymptotically periodic. However, the claim of Theorem 1.2 is stronger and holds for any contractive IFS.

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a full set $W_2 \subset I$ such that $h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is a finite set for every $x \in W_2$ and $h \in \cup_{k\geq 0} \mathscr{C}_k$.*

Proof. It is proved in [14] that if $h : \bar{I} \to \bar{I}$ is a Lipschitz map, then $h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is finite for almost every $x \in \bar{I}$. The lemma follows immediately from the fact that $\mathscr{C}_k$ is a finite set. □

Hereafter, let W_1 and W_2 be as in Lemma 2.2, item (ii), and Lemma 2.3. Set

$$W_\Phi = W_1 \cap W_2, \text{ then } W_\Phi = I \text{ almost surely.} \tag{5}$$

Proposition 2.4. *For each $x \in W_\Phi$, $\displaystyle\bigcup_{k\geq 0} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is a finite subset of $\bar{I} \setminus \bigcap_{k\geq 0} A_k$.*

Proof. Let $x \in W_\Phi$. Assume by contradiction that $\cup_{k\geq 0} \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is an infinite set. By Lemma 2.3, for every $k \geq 0$, the set $\bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is finite. Therefore, for infinitely many $k \geq 0$, the set $\bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is nonempty and $x \in A_k$. By item (i) of Lemma 2.2, $x \in \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$, which contradicts the fact that $x \in W_1$. This proves the first claim.

Let $y \in \bigcup_{k\geq 0} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x\})$, then there exist $\ell \geq 0$ and $h_\ell \in \mathscr{C}_\ell$ such that $x = h_\ell(y)$. Assume by contradiction that $y \in \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$. Then $x \in h_\ell(A_k) \subset \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} h(A_k) = A_{\ell+k}$ for

every $k \geq 0$, implying that $x \in \cap_{k\geq \ell} A_k = \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$, which is a contradiction. This proves the second claim. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$, then the set*

$$Q = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigcup_{k\geq 0} f^{-k}(\{x_i\}) \tag{6}$$

is finite. Moreover, $Q \subset I \setminus \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$.

Proof. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$. By Proposition 2.4, the set $\cup_{k\geq 0} \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x_i\})$ is finite for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence, as

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 0} f^{-k}(\{x_i\}) \subset \bigcup_{k\geq 0} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x_i\}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

we have that Q is also a finite set. Moreover, $Q \subset I \setminus \cap_{k\geq 0} A_k$ by Proposition 2.4. □

Next corollary assures that Theorem 2.5 holds if the partition $[x_0, x_1), \ldots, [x_{n-1}, x_n)$ in (2) is replaced by any partition $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ with each interval I_i having endpoints x_{i-1} and x_i.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ be as in Theorem 2.5. Let $\tilde{f} : I \to I$ be a map having the following properties:*

(P1) *$\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in (0,1) \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$;*
(P2) *$\tilde{f}(x_i) \in \{\lim_{x\to x_i-} f(x), \lim_{x\to x_i+} f(x)\}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.*

Then the set $\tilde{Q} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigcup_{k\geq 0} \tilde{f}^{-k}(\{x_i\})$ is finite.

Proof. The definition of f given by (2) together with the properties (P1) and (P2) assure that there exists a partition of I into n intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_n$ such that, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the interval I_i has endpoints x_{i-1} and x_i and $\tilde{f}|_{I_i} = \phi_i|_{I_i}$. In particular, we have that $\tilde{Q} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \bigcup_{k\geq 0} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_k} h^{-1}(\{x_i\})$ which is a finite set by Proposition 2.4. □

We remark that, in the next definition and in Lemma 2.8, the IFS is not assumed to be highly contractive.

Definition 2.7. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ be such that the set Q defined in (6) is finite. The collection $\mathscr{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ of all connected components of $(0,1) \setminus Q$ is called the *invariant quasi-partition* of f. In this case, we say that f *has an invariant quasi-partition*.

The existence of an invariant quasi-partition plays a fundamental role in this article.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ and $\mathscr{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ be as in Definition 2.7, then for every interval $J \in \mathscr{P}$ there exists an interval $J' \in \mathscr{P}$ such that $f(J) \subset J'$.*

Proof. Assume the lemma is false, then there exists $J \in \mathscr{P}$ such that $f(J) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $J \cap f^{-1}(Q) \neq \emptyset$. However, $f^{-1}(Q) \subset Q$ implying that $J \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ which contradicts the definition of $\mathscr{P}$. □

As the next lemma shows, the existence of an invariant quasi-partition $\mathscr{P}$ implies the following weaker notion of periodicity. Let $d : I \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ be the piecewise constant function defined by $d(x) = i$ if $x \in I_i$. The *itinerary* of the point $x \in I$ is the sequence of digits $d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots$ defined by $d_k = d\left(f^k(x)\right)$. We say that *the itineraries of f are eventually periodic* if the sequence $d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots$ is eventually periodic for every $x \in I$.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, then all itineraries of $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ are eventually periodic.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, Q is finite, thus f has an invariant quasi-partition $\mathscr{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ as in Definition 2.7. By Lemma 2.8, there exists a map $\tau : \{1, \dots, m\} \to \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $f(J_\ell) \subset J_{\tau(\ell)}$ for every $1 \le \ell \le m$. Let $1 \le \ell_0 \le m$ and $\{\ell_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ be the sequence defined recursively by $\ell_{k+1} = \tau(\ell_k)$ for every $k \ge 0$. It is elementary that the sequence $\{\ell_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is eventually periodic. We have that $x_i \in Q$ (see (6)) for every $1 \le i \le n-1$, therefore, by (P1), there exists a unique map $\eta : \{1, \dots, m\} \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying $J_\ell \subset I_{\eta(\ell)}$ for every $1 \le \ell \le m$, Hence, the sequence $\{\eta(\ell_k)\}_{k=0}^\infty$ is eventually periodic and, by definition, so is the itinerary of any $x \in J_{\ell_0}$.

Now let $x \in \{0\} \cup Q$. If $\{x, f(x), f^2(x), \dots\} \subset Q$, then the orbit of x is finite and so its itinerary is eventually periodic. Otherwise, there exist $1 \le \ell_0 \le m$ and $k \ge 1$ such that $f^k(x) \in J_{\ell_0}$. By the above, the itinerary of $f^k(x)$ is eventually periodic and so is that of x. This proves the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$. By Theorem 2.5, Q is finite, thus f has an invariant quasi-partition $\mathscr{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ as in Definition 2.7. Let $1 \le \ell_0 \le m$ and $x \in J_{\ell_0}$. In the proof of Lemma 2.9, it was proved that the itinerary of x in $\mathscr{P}$, $\{\ell_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$, is eventualy periodic. Therefore there exist an integer $s \ge 0$ and an even integer $p \ge 2$ such that $\ell_s = \ell_{s+p}$. As $\mathscr{P}$ is invariant under f, $f^p(J_{\ell_s}) \subset J_{\ell_{s+p}} = J_{\ell_s}$. Hence, if $J_{\ell_s} = (c, d)$, there exist $0 \le c \le c' \le d' \le d \le 1$ such that $\overline{f^p((c,d))} = [c', d']$. We claim that $c' > c$. Assume by contradiction that $c' = c$. As $f^p|_{(c,d)}$ is a nondecreasing contractive map, there exist $0 \le \eta < \epsilon$ such that $\overline{f^p((c, c+\epsilon))} = [c, c+\eta]$. By induction, for every integer $k \ge 1$, there exist $0 \le \eta_k < \epsilon_k$ such that $\overline{f^{kp}((c, c+\epsilon_k))} = [c, c+\eta_k]$. Hence,

$$c \in \bigcap_{k\ge1} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_{kp}} h(I) = \bigcap_{k\ge1} A_{kp} = \bigcap_{k\ge0} A_k.$$

This contradicts the fact that $c \in \partial J_{\ell_s} \subset \{0,1\} \cup Q \subset I \setminus \cap_{k\ge0} A_k$ (see Theorem 2.5). We conclude therefore that $c' > c$. Analogously, $d' < d$. In this way, there exists $\xi > 0$ such that $f^p((c,d)) \subset (c+\xi, d-\xi)$. As $f^p|_{(a,b)}$ is a continuous contraction, f^p has a unique fixed point $z \in (c,d)$. Notice that $O_f(z)$ is a periodic orbit. Moreover, it is clear that $\omega(x) = O_f(z)$ for every $x \in J_{\ell_0}$.

Now let $x \in I \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^m J_\ell = \{0\} \cup Q$. By the proof of Lemma 2.9, either $O_f(x)$ is contained in the finite set $I \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^m J_\ell$ (and thus is finite) or there exists $k \ge 1$ such that $f^k(x) \in \cup_{\ell=1}^m J_\ell$. By the above, in either case, $\omega_f(x)$ is a periodic orbit. □

3. Iterated Function Systems

In this section we prove the following improvement of Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ be an IFS formed by κ-Lipschitz functions, with $0 \leq \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$, defined on $I = [0,1)$, then there exists a full set $W_\Phi \subset I$ such that for every $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}(I) \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, the PC $f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.*

Theorem 3.1 will be deduced from Theorem 2.1 in the following way. First, we show that the IFS Φ can be locally replaced by a highly contractive IFS Υ and then that the local substitution suffices to prove Theorem 3.1.

Hereafter, let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}$ be fixed. Set $x_0 = 0$, $x_n = 1$,

$$\delta = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{x_i - x_{i-1}}{3} \text{ and } V(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \{(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} : |y_i - x_i| < \delta, \forall i\}. \tag{7}$$

In what follows, let $0 \leq \kappa < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n : [0,1] \to (0,1)$ be κ-Lipschitz contractions. Let $\Upsilon = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ be the IFS defined by

$$\varphi_1(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(x) & , \text{ if } x \in [0, x_1 + \delta] \\ \phi_1(x_1 + \delta) & , \text{ if } x \in [x_1 + \delta, 1] \end{cases}, \quad \varphi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_n(x_{n-1} - \delta) & , \text{ if } x \in [0, x_{n-1} - \delta] \\ \phi_n(x) & , \text{ if } x \in [x_{n-1} - \delta, 1] \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_i(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_i(x_{i-1} - \delta) & , \text{ if } x \in [0, x_{i-1} - \delta] \\ \phi_i(x) & , \text{ if } x \in [x_{i-1} - \delta, x_i + \delta] \\ \phi_i(x_i + \delta) & , \text{ if } x \in [x_i + \delta, 1] \end{cases}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

A scheme illustrating the construction of the IFS $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ from the IFS $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ is shown in Figure 2.

Lemma 3.2. *The IFS $\Upsilon = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ is highly contractive.*

Proof. It is clear that each $\varphi_i : [0,1] \to (0,1)$ is a Lipschitz contraction, therefore for almost every $x \in I$, $D\varphi_i(x)$ exists, and, by the definition of φ_i,

$$|D\varphi_1(x)| + \cdots + |D\varphi_n(x)| \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (|D\phi_i(x)| + |D\phi_{i+1}(x)|) \leq 2\kappa < 1.$$

Therefore, $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ is highly contractive which concludes the proof. □

In what follows, let $V = V(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ be as in (7).

Lemma 3.3. *For every $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in V$,*

$$f_{\varphi_1,\dots,\varphi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}} = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}}.$$

Proof. Let $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in V$, $y_0 = 0$ and $y_n = 1$. Set $K_i = [y_{i-1}, y_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $|y_i - x_i| < \delta$, we have that

$$K_1 \subset [0, x_1 + \delta], K_n \subset [x_{n-1} - \delta, 1] \text{ and } K_i \subset [x_{i-1} - \delta, x_i + \delta], \quad 2 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

This together with the definition of φ_i yields $\varphi_i|_{K_i} = \phi_i|_{K_i}$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. □

Now we will apply the results of Section 2 to the highly contractive IFS $\Upsilon = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$ (see Lemma 3.2). With respect to such IFS, let $W_\Upsilon \subset I$ be the full set defined in equation (5). Notice that all the claims in Section 2 hold true for the IFS Υ. In particular, we have that $V \cap W_\Upsilon^{n-1} = V$ almost surely.



FIGURE 2. Relations between the IFS $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3\}$ and $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3\}$.

Theorem 3.4. *For every* $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \in V \cap W_\Upsilon^{n-1}$, $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}}$ *has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.*

Proof. Let $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \in V \cap W_\Upsilon^{n-1}$. By Lemma 3.3, $f = f_{\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_n,y_1,\ldots,y_{n-1}}$. By Lemma 3.2, we have that Υ fulfills the hypotheses of Theorems 2.1 and 2.5. Hence, f has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic. □

We stress that, in the previous results, $\delta = \min_{1 \le i \le n}(x_i - x_{i-1})/3$, the set V and the IFS Υ depend on the point $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$. For this reason, in the next proof, we replace V and W_Υ by $V(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ and $W(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Set $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$. First we show that

$$\bigcup_{(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}\cap\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} V(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) = \Omega_{n-1}. \tag{8}$$

Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}$ and $\delta_0 = \delta(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Let $(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ be such that $|z_i - x_i| < \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$ for every $1 \le i \le n-1$. Set $z_0 = 0$ and $z_n = 1$. We have that for every $1 \le j \le n$, $z_j - z_{j-1} > (x_j - \frac{1}{2}\delta_0) - (x_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_0) \ge 3\delta_0 - \delta_0 = 2\delta_0$. In this way, $\delta(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) > \frac{2}{3}\delta_0 > \frac{1}{2}\delta_0$, thus $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in V(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$. This proves (8).

Let $(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} \cap \mathbb{Q}^{n-1}$ and let $W(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})$ be the full set in I defined by (5). By Theorem 3.4, for every $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in V(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \cap W(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1})^{n-1}$, the map $f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}}$ has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic. The denumerable intersection

$$W_\Phi = \bigcap_{(z_1,\dots,z_{n-1})\in\Omega_{n-1}\cap\mathbb{Q}^{n-1}} W(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \tag{9}$$

is a full set and, for every $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) \in V(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \cap W_\Phi^{n-1}$, the map $f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}}$ has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic. This together with (8) concludes the proof. □

4. Asymptotic periodicity: the general case

In this section we prove the following improvement of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ be an IFS formed by ρ-Lipschitz functions defined on $I = [0, 1)$ with $0 \le \rho < 1$, then there exists a full set $W_0 \subset I$ such that for every $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}(I) \cap W_0^{n-1}$, the PC $f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$ has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.*

Throughout this section, let $0 \le \rho < 1$ and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n : [0, 1] \to (0, 1)$ be ρ-Lipschitz contractions. Let $k \ge 1$ be such that $\rho^k < \frac{1}{2}$. By the Chain rule for Lipschitz maps, $\mathscr{C}_k = \mathscr{C}_k(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ $\big($see (4)$\big)$ is a collection of at most n^k ρ^k-Lipschitz contractions.

For each $r \ge 2$, let $\mathscr{I}_r$ denote the collection of all IFS $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r\}$, where each ψ_j, $1 \le j \le r$, belongs to $\mathscr{C}_k(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$. The collection $\mathscr{I}_r$ consists of at most $\dfrac{n^k!}{(n^k - r)!}$ IFS. Notice that in an IFS, the order in which the maps are listed matters. The fact that $\rho^k < \frac{1}{2}$ implies that any IFS in $\cup_{r\ge2}\mathscr{I}_r$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1.

In the statement of Theorem 3.1, the set W_Φ depends on the IFS $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$. In the next corollary, the set W does not depend on the IFS $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r\}$, provided Ψ is chosen within the denumerable collection $\cup_{r\ge2}\mathscr{I}_r$.

Corollary 4.2. *There exists a full set $W \subset I$ such that for every $r \ge 2$, $\{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r\} \in \mathscr{I}_r$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W^{r-1}$, the r-interval PC $f_{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r,y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}}$ has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{I} = \cup_{r\ge2}\mathscr{I}_r$. By Theorem 3.1, for each IFS $\Psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r\} \in \mathscr{I}$, there exists a full set $W_\Psi \subset I$ such that the following holds: for every $(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W_\Psi^{r-1}$,

the r-interval PC $g = f_{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r,y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}}$ has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic. The proof is concluded by taking $W = \cap_{\Psi\in\mathscr{I}} W_\Psi$. Since $\mathscr{I}$ is denumerable, we have that W is a full subset of I. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r\} \in \mathscr{I}_r$ and $(y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W^{r-1}$, where $r \geq 2$, satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 4.2. Let $g = f_{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_r,y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}}$ and $\tilde{g} : I \to I$ be any map having the following properties:*

(P1) *$\tilde{g}(y) = g(y)$ for every $y \in (0,1) \setminus \{y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}\}$;*
(P2) *$\tilde{g}(y_j) \in \{\lim_{y\to y_j-} g(y), \lim_{y\to y_j+} g(y)\}$ for every $1 \leq j \leq r-1$.*

Then the map $\tilde{g}$ has an invariant quasi-partition and is asymptotically periodic.

Proof. Let $x \in I$. If $O_{\tilde{g}}(x) \subset \{0\} \cup \{y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}\}$, then $O_{\tilde{g}}(x)$ is finite. Otherwise, there exists $\ell \geq 0$ such that $O_{\tilde{g}}\left(\tilde{g}^\ell(x)\right) \subset (0,1) \setminus \{y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}\}$. In this case, by (P2), we have that $O_{\tilde{g}}\left(\tilde{g}^\ell(x)\right) = O_g\left(\tilde{g}^\ell(x)\right)$, which is finite by Corollary 4.2. This proves that $\tilde{g}$ is asymptotically periodic.

It remains to be shown that the set $\tilde{Q} = \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{k\geq 0} \tilde{g}^{-k}(\{y_j\})$ is finite. By proceeding as in the proof of Corollary 2.6, it can be proved that the claims of Lemma 3.3, Theorems 3.4 and 3.1 and therefore Corollary 4.2 hold if we replace in (2) the partition $[x_0,x_1)$, …, $[x_{n-1},x_n)$ by any partition $I_1,\dots,I_n$ where each interval I_i has endpoints x_{i-1} and x_i. This means that in Corollary 4.2 we can replace the map g by the map $\tilde{g}$ and conclude that the set $\tilde{Q}$ is finite. Hence, $\tilde{g}$ has an invariant quasi-partition. □

Corollary 4.2 and Corollary 4.3 will be used later on this section. Now let us come back to the original IFS $\{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n\}$.

We denote by Ω'_{n-1} the set

$$\Omega'_{n-1} = \Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \bigcup_{j=1}^{n-1} \bigcup_{\ell\geq 1} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} \{(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} : x_j = h(x_i)\}, \tag{10}$$

which will be used in the forthcoming results.

Lemma 4.4. *$\Omega'_{n-1} = \Omega_{n-1}$ almost surely.*

Proof. There are only denumerably many sets of the form $\{(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1} : x_j = h(x_i)\}$, where $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ and $h \in \bigcup_{\ell\geq 1} \mathscr{C}_\ell$. Being the graph of a function, each such set is a null set. Therefore, Ω'_{n-1} equals Ω_{n-1} up to a null set. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$. Let γ be a periodic orbit of f, then there exists a neighborhood $U \subset I$ of γ such that $f(U) \subset U$ and $\gamma = \cap_{\ell\geq 0} f^\ell(U)$. Moreover, $\omega_f(x) = \gamma$ for every $x \in U$.*

Proof. Let γ be a periodic orbit of f. As $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ and $f(I) \subset (0,1)$, we have that $\gamma \cap \{x_0,\dots,x_{n-1}\} = \emptyset$. Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\min\{|x - x_i| : x \in \gamma, 0 \leq i \leq n\}$ and set $U := \cup_{x\in\gamma}(x-\epsilon, x+\epsilon)$, in particular $U \subset I \setminus \{x_0,\dots,x_{n-1}\}$. This together with the fact that $f|_{[x_{i-1},x_i)}$ is a Lipschitz contraction implies that $f(U) \subset U$, thus $\gamma = \cap_{\ell\geq 0} f^\ell(U)$. □

Lemma 4.6. *Let $(x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$, then f^k is left-continuous or right-continuous at each point of I.*

Proof. Let $y \in I$ and $S_y = \{y, f(y), \dots, f^{k-1}(y)\}$. The fact that $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ assures that $S_y \cap \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ is either empty or an one-point set. In the former case, we have that f is continuous on S_y, hence f^k is continuous at y. In the latter case, there exists $y' \in S_y$ such that f is continuous at each point of $S_y \setminus \{y'\}$ and f is left-continuous or right-continuous at y'. Accordingly, f^k is either left-continuous or right-continuous at y. □

For the next result, let W be the full set in the statement of Corollary 4.2.

Lemma 4.7. *There exists a full set $W_0 \subset W$ such that if $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$, then*

$$f^k|_{(y_{j-1},y_j)} = \psi_j|_{(y_{j-1},y_j)},\ 1 \le j \le r,$$

for some $r \ge 2$, $(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W^{r-1}$, and $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r \in \mathscr{C}_k$. Moreover, f^k is left-continuous or right-continuous at each point of I.

Proof. Let $M = \left\{x \in W \,\middle|\, \cup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} h^{-1}(\{x\}) \not\subset W\right\}$. Notice that $M \subset \cup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \cup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} h(I \setminus W)$, where $I \setminus W$ is a null set, therefore M is a null set. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a full set $W_0 \subset W \setminus M$ such that $\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} f^{-\ell}(\{x\}) \subset \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \bigcup_{h\in\mathscr{C}_\ell} h^{-1}(\{x\})$ is a finite subset of W for every $x \in W_0$. Now let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$, thus $\bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} f^{-\ell}(\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\})$ is a finite subset of $W \setminus \{0\}$ and we may list its elements in ascending order $0 < y_1 < \cdots < y_{r-1} < 1$. In this way, $(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W^{r-1}$. Let us analyze how f^k acts on the the intervals $E_1 = (y_0, y_1), \dots, E_r = (y_{r-1}, y_r)$. Fix $1 \le j \le r$. Since $\{y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}\} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{k-1} f^{-\ell}(\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\})$, we have that for each $0 \le \ell \le k-1$, there exists a unique $1 \le i_\ell \le n$ such that $f^\ell(E_j) \subset (x_{i_\ell - 1}, x_{i_\ell})$. This together with the fact that $f|_{[x_{i_\ell-1}, x_{i_\ell})} = \phi_{i_\ell}$ yields $f^k|_{E_j} = \psi_j|_{E_j}$, where $\psi_j = \phi_{i_k} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_1} \in \mathscr{C}_k$. The claim that f^k is left-continuous or right-continuous at each point of I follows from Lemma 4.6. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_n,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1}}$. By Lemma 4.7, there exist $r \ge 2$, $(y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}) \in \Omega_{r-1} \cap W^{r-1}$, and $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r \in \mathscr{C}_k$ such that

$$f^k|_{(y_{j-1},y_j)} = \psi_j|_{(y_{j-1},y_j)},\ 1 \le j \le r. \tag{11}$$

Let $g = f_{\psi_1,\dots,\psi_n,y_1,\dots,y_{r-1}}$ and $\tilde{g} = f^k$. We claim that $\tilde{g}$ satisfies (P1) and (P2) in Corollary 4.3. The property (P1) follows automatically from the equation (11). The property (P2) follows from (P1) together with the fact that f^k is left-continuous or right-continuous at each point of I, as assured by Lemma 4.7. By Corollary 4.3, the map $\tilde{g} = f^k$ has an invariant quasi-partition, that is to say, the set

$$\tilde{Q} = \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{s\ge 0} \tilde{g}^{-s}(\{y_j\}) = \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{s\ge 0} f^{-sk}(\{y_j\})$$

is finite, implying that the set $Q' = \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{s\ge 0} f^{-s}(\{y_j\})$ is finite. By the proof of Lemma 4.7, we have that $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\} \subset \{y_1, \dots, y_{r-1}\}$. In this way,

$$Q := \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} \cup_{s\ge 0} f^{-s}(\{x_i\}) \subset \cup_{j=1}^{r-1} \cup_{t\ge 0} f^{-t}(\{y_j\}),$$

and Q is therefore finite. This proves that f has an invariant quasi-partition.

By Corollary 4.3, the map $\tilde{g} = f^k$ is asymptotically periodic. We claim that f is also asymptotically periodic. Let $x \in I$, then there exists a periodic orbit γ_k of f^k such that $\omega_{f^k}(x) = \gamma_k$. Let $p \in \gamma_k$. Notice that p is a periodic point of f, thus there exists a periodic orbit γ of f that contains p and γ_k. Let U be a neighborhood of γ given by Lemma 4.5. Since $\omega_{f^k}(x) = \gamma_k \subset \gamma$, there exists an integer $\eta \geq 1$ such that $f^{\eta k}(x) \in U$. By Lemma 4.5, $\omega_f(x) = \omega_f\left(f^{\eta k}(x)\right) = \gamma$ which proves the claim. Hence, f is asymptotically periodic. □

5. An upper bound for the number of periodic orbits

Throughout this section, let $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n : [0,1] \to (0,1)$ be Lipschitz contractions, W_0 be the full set in the statement of Theorem 4.1 and Ω'_{n-1} be the set defined in (10). Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1} \cap W_0^{n-1}$ and $f = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$. By Theorem 4.1, f has an invariant quasi-partition $\mathscr{P} = \cup_{\ell=1}^{m} J_\ell$ with endpoints in $\{0,1\} \cup \cup_{i=1}^{n-1} Q_i$, where each set $Q_i = \cup_{k\geq 0} f^{-k}(\{x_i\})$ is finite.

Here we prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *The n-interval PC f has at most n periodic orbits.*

We would like to distinguish some intervals in $\mathscr{P}$, first those having $x_0 = 0$ and $x_n = 1$ as endpoints. We denote them by F_0 and G_n, where $x_0 \in \overline{F_0}$ and $x_n \in \overline{G_n}$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, let $F_i = (a, x_i)$ and $G_i = (x_i, b)$ be the two intervals in $\mathscr{P}$ which have x_i as an endpoint. We may have $G_i = F_{i+1}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. Among the intervals $F_1, G_1, \ldots, F_{n-1}, G_{n-1}$, there are at least n and at most $2(n-1)$ pairwise distinct intervals. We will prove that among them there are $1 \leq r \leq n$ pairwise distinct intervals, say $C_1, \ldots, C_r$, which satisfy the following: for every $J \in \mathscr{P}$, there exist $k \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that

$$f^k(J) \subset C_i.$$

This implies that the asymptotical behavior of any interval $J \in \mathscr{P}$ coincides with the asymptotical behavior of an interval C_i.

Let $J, J_1, J_2 \in \mathscr{P}$ and $k \geq 0$. We remark that $f^k(J) \subset J_1 \cup J_2$ if, and only if, $f^k(J) \subset J_1$ or $f^k(J) \subset J_2$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $(a,b) \in \mathscr{P}$ with $a \in Q_i$ and $b \in Q_j$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$ and $i \neq j$. Then there exists $\ell \geq 0$ such that (at least) one of the following statements holds*

(i) *$f^\ell(F_i) \subset F_j \cup G_j$ or $f^\ell(G_i) \subset F_j \cup G_j$;*
(ii) *$f^\ell(F_j) \subset F_i \cup G_i$ or $f^\ell(G_j) \subset F_i \cup G_i$.*

Proof. The hypotheses that $a \in Q_i$, $b \in Q_j$ and $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ $\big($see (10)$\big)$ imply that there exist unique integers $\ell_i, \ell_j \geq 0$ such that $f^{\ell_i}(a) = x_i$ and $f^{\ell_j}(b) = x_j$. Moreover, $f^k(a) \notin \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$ for every $k \neq \ell_i$, and $f^m(b) \notin \{x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$ for every $m \neq \ell_j$. Let $J = (a,b)$, then $f^{\ell_i}(J) \subset F_i \cup G_i$ and $f^{\ell_j}(J) \subset F_j \cup G_j$. Now it is clear that the claim (i) happens if $\ell_i \leq \ell_j$ (then we set $\ell = \ell_j - \ell_i$) and the claim (ii) occurs if $\ell_i \geq \ell_j$ (then we set $\ell = \ell_i - \ell_j$). □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $J \in \mathscr{P}$, then there exist $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $k \geq 0$ such that $f^k(J) \subset F_i \cup G_i$.*

Proof. It follows by the arguments used in the proof of Lemma 5.2. □

Lemma 5.4. *There exists a permutation* $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ *of* $1, \dots, n-1$ *and intervals* $(a_{k-1}, b_k) \in \mathscr{P}$ *with* $a_{k-1} \in Q_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup Q_{i_{k-1}}$ *and* $b_k \in Q_{i_k}$ *for every* $2 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Proof. Since $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n-1}$ are pairwise disjoint finite subsets of the interval $(0,1)$, the numbers $y_i = \min Q_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ are pairwise distinct numbers. Hence, there exists a permutation $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ of $1, \dots, n-1$ such that $y_{i_1} < \dots < y_{i_{n-1}}$. Set $b_k = y_{i_k} \in Q_{i_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, therefore $0 \leq b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{n-1} < 1$. Notice that

$$(0, b_k) \cap \left(Q_{i_k} \cup \dots \cup Q_{i_{n-1}}\right) = \emptyset \quad \text{for every} \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-1. \tag{12}$$

For every $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, let $S_k = (0, b_k) \cap \left(Q_1 \cup \dots \cup Q_{n-1}\right)$. Since $b_{k-1} \in (0, b_k) \cap Q_{i_{k-1}}$, we have that $S_k \neq \emptyset$. Set $a_{k-1} = \max S_k$, then $a_{k-1} < b_k$ and $(a_{k-1}, b_k) \in \mathscr{P}$. By (12), $a_{k-1} \in Q_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup Q_{i_{k-1}}$, which concludes the proof. □

Using the permutation $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ defined in Lemma 5.4, for simplicity, set $F'_k = F_{i_k}$ and $G'_k = G_{i_k}$, for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Corollary 5.5. *Let* $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, *then there exist* $1 \leq j < k$ *and* $\ell \geq 0$ *such that* (*at least*) *one of the following statements holds*:
(*i*) $f^\ell(F'_j) \subset F'_k \cup G'_k$ *or* $f^\ell(G'_j) \subset F'_k \cup G'_k$,
(*ii*) $f^\ell(F'_k) \subset F'_j \cup G'_j$ *or* $f^\ell(G'_k) \subset F'_j \cup G'_j$.

Proof. Let $i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}$ be the permutation of $1, \dots, n-1$ given by Lemma 5.4, then for every $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, there exist $1 \leq j < k$ and $(a, b) \in \mathscr{P}$ with $a \in Q_{i_j}$ and $b \in Q_{i_k}$. The interval (a, b) fulfills the hypothesis of Lemma 5.2. The proof is finished by making the following substitutions in the claim of Lemma 5.2: $i = i_j$, $j = i_k$, $F_i = F_{i_j} = F'_j$ and $F_j = F_{i_k} = F'_k$. □

Next we introduce an equivalence relation in the family of intervals $\mathscr{P}'$ listed as

$$\mathscr{P}' = \{F_1, G_1, \dots, F_{n-1}, G_{n-1}\} = \{F'_1, G'_1, \dots, F'_{n-1}, G'_{n-1}\}.$$

Definition 5.6. Let $C_1, C_2 \in \mathscr{P}'$. We say that C_1 and C_2 are *equivalent* if there exists $C \in \mathscr{P}'$ such that $f^\ell(C_1) \cup f^k(C_2) \subset C$ for some $\ell, k \geq 0$. If C_1 and C_2 are equivalent, we write $C_1 \equiv C_2$.

Lemma 5.7. *The relation* $\equiv$ *is an equivalence relation with at most* n *equivalence classes.*

Proof. It is clear that $\equiv$ is reflexive and symmetric. To prove that $\equiv$ is transitive, let $C_1, C_2, C_3 \in \mathscr{P}'$ with $C_1 \equiv C_2$ and $C_1 \equiv C_3$. We will prove that $C_3 \equiv C_2$.

There exist $C, C' \in \mathscr{P}'$ such that $f^\ell(C_1) \cup f^k(C_2) \subset C$ and $f^p(C_1) \cup f^q(C_3) \subset C'$ for some $\ell, k, p, q \geq 0$. If $\ell \geq p$, then $f^{\ell-p}(C') \subset C$, which means that $f^{q+\ell-p}(C_3) \subset C$ implying that $C_3 \equiv C_2$. Otherwise $\ell < p$, then $f^{p-\ell}(C) \subset C'$, which means that $f^{k+p-\ell}(C_2) \subset C'$ implying that $C_3 \equiv C_2$. We have proved that $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation

Denote by $[C]$ the equivalence class of the interval $C \in \mathscr{P}'$. Now we will prove that $\equiv$ has at most n equivalence classes.

For each $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, let $m_k \geq 1$ denote the number of pairwise distinct terms in the sequence $[F'_1], [G'_1], \dots, [F'_k], [G'_k]$. We have that $m_1 \leq 2$. By Corollary 5.5, for each

$2 \leq k \leq n-1$, there exist $C_1 \in \{F'_1, G'_1, \ldots, F'_{k-1}, G'_{k-1}\}$ and $C_2 \in \{F'_k, G'_k\}$ such that $C_1 \equiv C_2$. Hence, $m_k \leq m_{k-1} + 1$ for every $2 \leq k \leq n-1$. By induction, $m_k \leq k+1$ for every $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. The proof is finished by taking $k = n-1$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The fact that $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega'_{n-1}$ implies that the periodic orbits of f are entirely contained in the union of the intervals of the quasi-partition $\mathscr{P}$. Moreover, each interval of $\mathscr{P}$ intersects at most one periodic orbit of f. By Lemma 5.3, every orbit of f intersects an interval of $\mathscr{P}'$. The intervals of $\mathscr{P}'$ that intersect the same periodic orbit of f belong to the same equivalence class. In this way, there exists an injective map that assigns to each periodic orbit of f an equivalence class. By Lemma 5.7, the number of equivalence classes is at most n. As a result, the number of periodic orbits of f is at most n. □

6. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We begin with a lemma which will be used to prove Theorem 1.2 in the case $I = \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\phi_i : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be ρ-Lipschitz contractions. Then there exists $r_0 = r_0(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) > 0$ such that for every $r \geq r_0$, the following holds:*

(i) $\phi_i([-r, r]) \subset (-r, r)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$;

(ii) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $k = k(x) \geq 0$ such that $|h(x)| < r$, for every $h \in \mathscr{C}_k$.

Proof. Let $c = \max_i |\phi_i(0)|$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, then, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the following holds

$$|\phi_i(x)| \leq |\phi_i(x) - \phi_i(0)| + |\phi_i(0)| \leq \rho|x| + c. \tag{12}$$

Set $r_0 := 2c/(1-\rho)$ and let $r \geq r_0$. Note that

$$|x| \leq r \implies |\phi_i(x)| \leq \rho|x| + c \leq \rho r + \frac{(1-\rho)r}{2} < r$$

which proves (i).

By (12), let $h = \phi_{i_1} \circ \phi_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_k} \in \mathscr{C}_k$, where $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \leq n$. We have that

$$|h(x)| = |\phi_{i_1} \circ \phi_{i_2} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{i_k}(x)| \leq \rho^k|x| + (\rho^{k-1} + \ldots + \rho + 1)c \leq \rho^k|x| + \frac{c}{1-\rho} \leq \rho^k|x| + \frac{r}{2}.$$

Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let k be so large that $\rho^k|x| < r/2$, then $|h(x)| < r$ which proves (ii). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows straightforwardly from Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 that Theorem 1.2 holds for $I = [0, 1)$, thus the same claim holds if instead of $[0, 1)$ we consider any bounded real interval of the form $[a, b)$.

Now we consider the case $I = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be ρ-Lipschitz contractions and $r_0 = r_0(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n) > 0$ be given by Lemma 6.1. For every integer $k \geq 0$, set $I_k = [-(r_0 + k), r_0 + k)$. By the item (i) of Lemma 6.1 , $\phi_i\left(\overline{I}_k\right) \subset \mathring{I}_k$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\phi_i^{(k)} := \phi_i|_{\overline{I}_k}$, then $\{\phi_1^{(k)}, \ldots, \phi_n^{(k)}\}$ is an IFS consisting of ρ-Lipschitz contractions defined on $\overline{I}_k$. Hence, by the first part of the proof, there exists a full subset V_k of I_k such that, for every $(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}(I_k) \cap (V_k)^{n-1}$, the map $f_{\phi_1^{(k)}, \ldots, \phi_n^{(k)}, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}} : I_k \to \mathring{I}_k$ is asymptotically periodic and has at most n periodic orbits. By the items (i) and (ii) of Lemma 6.1, for every $k \geq 1$, the maps $f_{\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_n, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $f_{\phi_1^{(k)}, \ldots, \phi_n^{(k)}, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}} :$

$I_k \to \mathring{I}_k$ have the same asymptotic limits. Therefore $f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ is also asymptotically periodic and has at most n periodic orbits.

To conclude the proof, let $W_k = (-\infty, -(r_0+k)) \cup V_k \cup (r_0+k, \infty)$. Therefore W_k is a full subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and the denumerable intersection

$$W_\Phi = \cap_{k\geq 1} W_k$$

is also a full subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Let $(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap (W_\Phi)^{n-1}$ and k be an integer larger than $\max\{|x_1|, |x_{n-1}|\}$. Thus, the point $(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$ also belongs to the set $\Omega_{n-1}(I_k) \cap (V_k)^{n-1}$, implying that the map $f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ is asymptotically periodic and has at most n periodic orbits. This concludes the proof of the theorem.

□

7. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Throughout this section, let $-1 < \lambda < 1$ and $\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be λ-affine maps defined by $\phi_i(x) = \lambda x + b_i$, where $b_1,\ldots,b_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Hereafter, to avoid misunderstanding, whenever a piecewise λ-affine map is defined on the whole line, we use the notation $\bar{f}_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$ in place of $f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$.

Lemma 7.1 (Reduction Lemma)**.** *Let $(c_1,\ldots,c_{n-1}) \in \Omega_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\bar{f} = \bar{f}_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,c_1,\ldots,c_{n-1}}$. Then, for every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $\bar{f}_\delta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\bar{f}_\delta = \bar{f} + \delta$ is topologically conjugate to the map $\bar{g} = \bar{f}_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$, where $x_i = c_i - \delta/(1-\lambda)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.*

Proof. Let $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ be fixed. Set $x_i = c_i - \delta/(1-\lambda)$ and $\bar{g} = \bar{f}_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n,x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}}$. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $h(x) = x + \delta/(1-\lambda)$. We claim that $h \circ \bar{g} = \bar{f}_\delta \circ h$. To show this, let $I_1 = (-\infty, x_1)$, $I_n = [x_{n-1}, \infty)$ and $I_j = [x_{j-1}, x_j)$, $2 \leq j \leq n-1$. By the definition of h and x_i, we have that $h(I_1) = (-\infty, c_1)$, $h(I_n) = [c_{n-1}, \infty)$ and $h(I_j) = [c_{j-1}, c_j)$ for every $2 \leq j \leq n-1$. Moreover, by (2), for every $x \in I_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have that

$$h\left(\bar{g}(x)\right) = h\left(\phi_i(x)\right) = \phi_i(x) + \frac{\lambda\delta}{1-\lambda} + \delta = \phi_i\left(x + \frac{\delta}{1-\lambda}\right) + \delta = \phi_i\left(h(x)\right) + \delta = \bar{f}_\delta\left(h(x)\right).$$

This proves the claim. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $I = [0,1)$ and $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ be an n-interval piecewise λ-affine contraction, then there exist λ-affine contractions $\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_n : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and points $0 = d_0 < d_1 < \ldots < d_{n-1} < d_n = 1$ such that $f(x) = \phi_i(x)$ for every $x \in [d_{i-1}, d_i)$. Let F be the denumerable set

$$F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \bigcup_{j=0}^{1} \left\{\delta \in \mathbb{R} : \phi_i(d_{i-j}) + \delta = 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 1)\right\},$$

then for each $\delta_0 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus F$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$, $n \leq m \leq 2n$ and $(c_1,\ldots,c_{m-1}) \in \Omega_{m-1}(I)$ such that

$$f_\delta = f + \delta \,(\mathrm{mod}\, 1) = f_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_m,c_1,\ldots,c_{m-1}} + \delta \quad \text{for every} \quad \delta \in (\delta_0 - \epsilon, \delta_0 + \epsilon). \tag{13}$$

Let $\bar{f} = \bar{f}_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_m,c_1,\ldots,c_{m-1}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 7.1, for every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the map $\bar{f}_\delta = \overline{f} + \delta$ is topologically conjugate to the map $\bar{f}_{\phi_1,\ldots,\phi_m,x_1,\ldots,x_{m-1}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, where $x_i = c_i - \delta/(1-\lambda)$ for every $1 \leq i \leq m-1$. Let W_Φ be the full set given by Theorem 1.2. Let δ belong to the

full set $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n}(1-\lambda)\,(c_i - W_\Phi)$, then $(x_1,\dots,x_{m-1}) = \left(c_1 - \dfrac{\delta}{1-\lambda},\dots,c_{m-1} - \dfrac{\delta}{1-\lambda}\right) \in \Omega_{m-1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap W_\Phi^{m-1}$, therefore, by Theroem 1.2, the map $\bar{f}_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_m,x_1,\dots,x_{m-1}}$ is asymptotically periodic and has at most $m \leq 2n$ periodic orbits. The map $\bar{f}_\delta$ inherits from $\bar{f}_{\phi_1,\dots,\phi_m,x_1,\dots,x_{m-1}}$ the same asymptotic properties. By (13), $f_\delta(x) = \bar{f}_\delta(x)$ for every $x \in I$ and $\delta \in (\delta_0 - \epsilon, \delta_0 + \epsilon)$. In this way, f_δ is asymptotically periodic and has at most $m \leq 2n$ periodic orbits for almost every $\delta \in (\delta_0 - \epsilon, \delta_0 + \epsilon)$. □

References

[1] L. Alsedà and M. Misiurewicz. Semiconjugacy to a map of constant slope, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* Series B **20** (2015), 3403-3413.

[2] Y. Bugeaud. Dynamique de certaines applications contractantes linéaires par morceaux sur [0, 1[, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I **317** (1993), 575-578.

[3] Y. Bugeaud. Linear mod one transformations and the distribution of fractional parts $\{\xi(p/q)n\}$, *Acta Arith.* **114** (2004), no. 4, 301-311.

[4] Y. Bugeaud and J.-P.Conze. Calcul de la dynamique de transformations linéaires contractantes mod 1 et arbre de Farey, *Acta Arith.* **88**(3) (1999), 201-218.

[5] H. Bruin and J. H. B. Deane. Piecewise contractions are asymptotically periodic. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **137**(4) (2009), 1389-1395.

[6] E. Catsigeras, P. Guiraud, A. Meyroneinc and E. Ugalde. On the asymptotic properties of piecewise contracting maps, *Dynamical Systems*, Volume 31, Issue 2 (2016), 107–135.

[7] R. Coutinho. Dinâmica Simbólica Linear, Ph.D. Thesis, Universidade Técnica de Lisboa, Instituto Superior Técnico (1999).

[8] J-M. Gambaudo and C. Tresser. On the dynamics of quasi-contractions. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.*, **19**(1) (1988), 61-114.

[9] J. Milnor and W. Thurston. *On iterated maps of the interval*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1342**, Springer, Berlin, 1988, 465-563.

[10] M. Misiurewicz and S. Roth. No semiconjugacy to a map of constant slope, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **36** (2016), 875-889.

[11] A. Nogueira, B. Pires and R. Rosales. Asymptotically periodic piecewise contractions of the interval, *Nonlinearity* **27**(7) (2014), 1603-1610

[12] A. Nogueira and B. Pires. Dynamics of piecewise contractions of the interval, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **35** (2015), 2198-2215.

[13] P. Veerman. Symbolic dynamics of order-preserving orbits, *Physica 29D*, (1987), 191-201.

[14] J. C. Wells. A note on a corollary of Sard's Theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **48**(2) (1975), 513-514.